What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
Children in need	of help and	protection				
Ensure that assessn	nents are timel	y, proportionate and effectively identify the	e risks, and needs and protect	ive factors, leading to a	ppropriate and me	easureable plans
Assessment timescales expected to complete with 20 days, exceptions are 45 days	Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service SASF	Assessment Timeliness practice standards to be revised     Practice standards to be implemented for Single Assessment and Safeguarding and Supporting Families teams.     Performance reporting to specify the distribution of working days from the referral outcome to assessment authorisation.	Increase in percentage of assessments completed within 20 days	<ul> <li>19% at 20 days (Oct 15)</li> <li>81% within 45 days (Oct 15)</li> </ul>	60% 20 days / Apr 17     90% 45 days / Apr 17	The development of greater proportionality in single assessments has yet to deliver any sizeable or sustained increase in the numbers of assessments completed within 20 days.
S47 assessments to be completed within 15 working days	Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service SASF	CP Enquiry ( S47) practice standards to be revised Practice standards to be implemented for Single Assessment and Safeguarding and Supporting Families teams. Heads of Service to comply with management oversight appendix within Scheme of Delegation in relation to S47 authorisation. Performance reporting to specify working days from strategy meeting outcome to conclusion of S47	All CP investigations completed within timescales      % of ICPCs held within 15 working days of initial strategy	<ul><li>75% (Oct 15)</li><li>70% (Sept 15)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>100% / Apr 17</li> <li>95% / Apr 17</li> </ul>	Similar proportions of investigations are currently overrunning.  Performance on this measure shows sustained improvement at to the planned levels
Child's record identifies risk, needs and protective factors	Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service SASF	<ul> <li>Assessment Quality practice standards to be revised.</li> <li>Practice standards to reflect consistent use of Signs of Safety risk assessment and danger statements.</li> <li>Practice standards to be implemented for Single Assessment and Safeguarding and Supporting Families teams.</li> <li>5 day Signs of Safety training commissioned for all social work staff during November 2016</li> </ul>	% of case audits which rated the quality of assessments as at least RI	Base line to be re-worked	• 90% / Apr 17	Historic audit judgements are recognised as being over optimistic. New baseline based on audits completed in September onwards.
Consistent application of CP	Head of Service	Heads of Service to comply with management oversight appendix to	% of Section 47s that lead to an initial case	• 53.1% (Apr – Oct 15)	• 60%+ / Apr 17	Larger volumes of section 47s are still be completed than that compared to

What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
thresholds and CP process	MASH/ SA Head of Service SASF	Scheme of Delegation in relation to S47 authorisation.  Performance reporting to capture Heads of Service oversight  Child Protection training to be facilitated for all Team Managers and Chairs / IROs	<ul> <li>conference</li> <li>Children subject to sec 47 per 10k</li> <li>CPP per 10k</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>322 (2015/6)</li> <li>86 CPP per 10k (Oct 15)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>250/ Apr 17</li> <li>55 / Apr 17</li> </ul>	Benchmarks The number on plans is currently consistently in line with statistical benchmarks
Reduce number of single assessments that result in no further intervention	Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service SASF	MASH Operational practice standards to be revised and implemented.     Additional descriptors to be written into single assessment to identify interventions completed     Assessment Quality practice standards to be implemented across Single Assessment and Safeguarding and Supporting Families teams.	% of referrals where the child is assessed considered not to be in need	• 46% (2015/6)	• 23% / Apr 17	The number and proportion of single assessments that do not lead any further role have reduced slightly so far this year.
Child's record identifies outcome based CIN and CP plans, including interim planning prior to initial multi- agency meetings	Vashti Wickers/ Giselle Jones	Design and develop SMART outcomes based plan using Signs of Safety template     Plan to be built into PARIS     Plan practice standards to be written and implemented across Single Assessment and Safeguarding and Supporting Families teams.	% of CIN and CP plans audited as at least RI	• 79.6% (Apr – Jun 16)	80% / Apr 17	Historic audit judgements are recognised as being over optimistic. New baseline based on audits completed in September onwards.
Ensure that timely d	ecisions are m	ade on contacts and referrals and that init	ial visits to children are promp	t		
All contacts/referrals to be screened within 24 hours.	Head of Service MASH/ SA	MASH operational procedures to be written and implemented within the MASH.     Performance reporting to specify distribution of working days from contact to referral outcome.	% of contacts where a decision was made within 24 hours	• 46.6% (Oct 15)	90% / Apr 17	Since Ofsted's last inspection there has been a sustained improvement in the timeliness of initial decision making within the MASH.
Children in need to be seen within 5 working days of referral outcome.	Head of Service MASH/ SA	<ul> <li>Child Seen practice standards to be revised</li> <li>Practice standards to be implemented across Single Assessment and Safeguarding and Supporting Families teams.</li> <li>Performance data to specify out of assessments scheduled in that</li> </ul>	% of referrals where the child was seen within 5 working days (SA)	• 15.6% (Oct 15)	95% / Apr 17	Compliance whilst improved is still too variable across and within services. The best levels of compliance are within the Assessment Service.

What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
		reporting month the distribution of working days until child seen.  Head of Service to comply with management oversight appendix within Scheme of Delegation in relation to initial visit exemptions				
Children in need of protection to be seen within 1 working day.	Head of Service MASH/ SA Head of Service SASF	<ul> <li>Child Seen practice standards to be revised</li> <li>Practice standards to be implemented across Single Assessment and Safeguarding and Supporting Families teams.</li> <li>Performance data to specify out of assessments scheduled in that reporting month the distribution of working days until child seen.</li> <li>Head of Service to comply with management oversight appendix within Scheme of Delegation in relation to initial visit exemptions</li> </ul>	% of referrals where the child was seen within 1 working days (Sc 47)	• 61.5% (Oct 15)	95% / Apr 17	Compliance levels have been sustained but not significantly improved on the baseline position
Ensure that	t 16-and 17-yea	ar olds who are homeless are given the opp	oortunity to have a comprehens	sive assessment and h	elp and support a	ccording to their needs
Ensure that the referral mechanism is effective	YOT and Youth Homelessne ss Prevention Service (Responsibili ty YOT Manager	<ul> <li>Create and share work flow for 16/17 year olds with Youth Homelessness Prevention service.</li> <li>Agree MASH Screening process.</li> <li>Coordinate weekly tracking meeting for Social Workers completing assessments and Youth Homelessness Prevention workers.</li> </ul>	Nos of children 16+ who were subject to a single assessment	• 75 (Aug 15 – Jul 16)	>=100 per year / April 17	The anticipated upturn in assessments CYP 16+ has not yet materialised this year.
Ensure that all young people receive the opportunity for an assessment	YOT Social Workers (Responsibili ty YOT Manager)	<ul> <li>Train YOT Social Workers to complete Single Assessments and Signs of safety.</li> <li>New Practice standards to be implemented in IYSS. For process of assessment and management oversight</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>% single assessments of children 16+yrs cases audited were the quality was at least R&amp;I</li> </ul>	•	• 80% / Apr 17	Historic audit judgements are recognised as being over optimistic. New baseline based on audits completed in September onwards.
Ensure that assessments lead to an offer of help	YOT Social Workers (Responsibili	New Practice standards to be implemented in IYSS. For process of assessment and management	% of CLA entering care who were 16+	• 5% (2015/16)	• 5 - 10% Apr 17	Currently the anticipated upturn in CYP 16 + entering care has not materialised

What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
and support where needed	ty YOT Manager)	oversight.     Assessments to occur within multiagency IYSS to improve access to services.				
targeting of preventa		sing from home or care are offered a time ctive services	ly and comprenensive return in	terview and that inform	nation from these	interviews is collated to inform effective
Children who go missing to be offered a return home interview within 72 hours of their return	HOS for Safeguardin g and QA	review contractual arrangements with existing service provider     issue contract variation     develop a set of return home interview practice standards     implement return home interview practice standards	% of return home interviews who were provided with a return home interview within 72 hours	Performance info as at October 2015 needs to be calc	100% / Apr 17	Review quarterly contract monitoring data to evidence this position
Monitor and analyse information from return home interviews	Consultant SW/CSE Coordinator CS Performance Lead HOS for Safeguardin g and QA	all young people who go missing to be discussed at the weekly multiagency Missing Monday Meeting     develop PARIS template to ensure that all missing data is recorded on PARIS     LOGI report to be created to monitor volume and timeliness of return home interviews      TSCB MACA audit to look at the quality and impact of return home interviews	% of return home interviews audited that were judged to be at least RI	Performance info as at October 2015 needs to be calc	100% / Apr 17	Historic audit judgements are recognised as being over optimistic. New baseline based on audits completed in September onwards.
Partnership wor	_	ency thresholds are understood and consi	istently applied across the part	nership		
Threshold document appropriately reflects the expectations of TSCB	AD/TSCB	Documentation amended to ensure consistency and aids understanding     Document reflects TAF coordinator role	% of contacts that led to a referral	• 29% (2015/16)	35% April 17	Further longitudinal analysis being completed to address the potential of changing thresholds

What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
		Document published				
Partners apply thresholds effectively	AD/TSCB	Training for partners in child's journey and early help and intervention delivered with newly launched documentation available				
All EH Assessments/Refe rral Received are appropriate according to threshold.	HOS Targeted Intervention EH Team TAFA	<ul> <li>TAF Training</li> <li>TAFA/CCW roles supporting partners</li> <li>Monitor and review EH assessments received and push back inappropriate assessments with advice and guidance offered.</li> <li>Develop existing Targeted practice.</li> <li>Presentations at Stat SW team meetings to enable consistent understanding of step down processes and the significance of clear plans.</li> </ul>	% of EH contacts that lead to a referral	• 58% (Oct 15)	75% April 17	Numbers of inappropriate referrals decrease and children receive the right support at the right time – April 17 (Amber)
Partners are confident in making single agency referrals and TAC working without reliance on CSC for intervention and support.	HOS Targeted Intervention EH Team TSCB	<ul> <li>TAF Training</li> <li>TAFA/CCW roles supporting partners</li> <li>Monitor and review EH assessments received and push back inappropriate assessments with advice and guidance offered.</li> </ul>	% of EH referrals judged to be at least RI or better	Existing data		Historic audit judgements are recognised as being over optimistic. New baseline based on audits completed in September onwards.
		ensure that children receive timely and effective	ve early help and assessments ar	nd plans are in place for		
Children receive a timely response	HOS Targeted Intervention EH Team	<ul> <li>In day screening of EH assessments to ensure that EH route is appropriate and safe.</li> <li>Any risk is identified and stepped up to MASH within the day.</li> </ul>	% of EH stepped up cases responded to within 1 day?	4 days (Oct 15)	• 1 day / Apr 17	Data needs to be recalculated and presented in line the other compliance measures.
Early Help Panel support good	HOS Targeted	Early Help Panel members invited	% invitations to EH that led to attendance	•		Attendance of EH Panel members needs to be recorded on PARIS

What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
threshold management / understanding	Intervention EH Team	E H Panel facilitates member challenge and discussion on threshold / LP expectations				Survey of EH panel membership (November '16)
Support to enable partners to provide consistent response to TAF activities	HOS Targeted Intervention Supporting Families Coord	TAF coordinators recruitment     TAF coordinators support EH     assessment authors / LP as chairs	% of TAFs led by partners	Baseline to be produced	?	
Re-alignment of Targeted Services across the Child's Journey Threshold as part of EH/FSW Review	HOS Targeted Intervention Commission ers across JCT and CSC TSCB/Partn ers TM's across EH and Targeted	Complete report and recommendations for Targeted Intervention across The Child's Journey.  Use TSCB and Governance across Partners to roll out formal recommendations  Explore variation of contracts/commissioning arrangements – where necessary.  Drive TAF training across partnership.  Use TAFA/CCW to support upskilling of partners to create effective Assessments and Plans.  Develop new working practices and delivery model for Targeted Services within CSC	% of EH contacts 'nfa'd'      % of EH cases that have been received within 12 months	Baseline to be produced	?	
Ensure that the thres		rral to the designated officer is well under	stood across the partnership			
Ensure that the threshold for a referral to the	HOS for Safeguardin g and QA	appoint a Senior IRO with lead responsibility for LADO	% of LADO contacts that meet threshold	• 71.3%	?	
designated officer is well understood		review existing LADO arrangements				
across the partnership		develop and implement a set of LADO practice standards				
		update and redistribute LADO promotional material				

What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
	CS Performance Lead	deliver a series of targeted awareness raising sessions to voluntary and community groups  create PARIS templates to ensure that all LADO activity is recorded on PARIS and can be reported on  produce an annual report for 2015/16 and identify key priorities for 2016/17  undertake a thematic audit to look at the quality of work and the partnership's understanding of the LADO threshold and role				
With nartners ensure	that timely and	 effective services are in place, particularly in	relation to domestic abuse, adult i	 mental health_CAMHS a	and emergency duty	v service
Domestic abuse	Children's Commission er / TSCB	Domestic abuse interventions are available for referral by CSC	% of children in need     (as a result of DV)     % of CIN receiving DV     services	Baseline to be produced	?	
Adult Mental Health	Children's Commission er / TSCB	Adult mental health:     Challenge to AMH member by TSCB     engagement in TSCB improvement plan work	Number of Children receiving AMH contacts	Baseline to be produced	?	
CAMHS	Children's Commission er / TSCB' HoS Targeted Intervention	<ul> <li>Challenge to CAMHS member by TSCB</li> <li>Engagement in joint training</li> <li>Engagement in TSCB improvement plan work</li> </ul>	% of CIN referred to CAMHS that receive initial consultation within 18 weeks	Baseline to be produced	100% within 18 weeks / date?	
EDS provides a timely and effective service to children out of hours	Children's Commission er / TSCB' HoS Targeted	<ul> <li>Children who are in need of protection receive a robust timely service OOH's</li> <li>Children who are in need receive an appropriate response OOH's</li> </ul>	Practice standard for EDS contacts?	Baseline to be produced	100% <b>date</b>	

What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
	Intervention	Engagement in EDS / Devon project steering group				

## **Safeguarding and Quality Assurance Service**

g and QA

directly observe Practice of CP

HOS

Strengthen the quality assurance role in independent reviewing officer and children protection conference chairs and ensure that reviews and conferences result in effective information sharing and purposeful, timely plans for children Appoint a HOS IRO vacancies and management roles have Assistant % of social work post vacant 28.7% (2015/6) Recruit to vacancy been filled, but recruitment and retention Director activity has as yet not made any in roads to Appoint a Senior HOS % of social work posts 24.3% (2015/6) ? the levels of permanent staff. However the Create Job Description covered by agency staff use of agency staff has reduced. IRO Safeguardin g and QA Recruit to vacancy Implement Signs of HOS Historic audit judgements are recognised as introduce Signs of Safety as a Data to be provided % of CPP audited that Safety Approach Safeguardin method to conduct CPCs being over optimistic. New baseline based were rated as at least RI) g and QA / on audits completed in September onwards. Senior IRO provide SOS training for CP Chairs 85% April 17 in SOS Approach 78% 2014/15 % of ICPC that did not result in a plan - in line all CP Chairs to undertake 5 day with benchmarks SOS Practice Lead course develop and implement a set of practice standards for CP Chairs and İROs Monitor and analyse HOS Monthly PARIS develop a set of performance % of CP plans issued service specific Safeguardin measures performance within 3 (wrk) days performance g and QA report under information development CS % of CLA reviews develop a LOGI PARIS report that Performance cancelled in month captures agreed data set and Lead monitors compliance with practice standards for CP Chairs and IROs % of CLA review where HOS children engaged in introduce monthly team Performance Safeguardin review Meetina

%of IRO

What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
	Safeguardin g and QA	Chairs and IROs annually     introduce a monthly dip sample/audit of CP and CLA plans and DRPs	recommendations complete with 5 (wrk) days			
Ensure IROs and CP Chairs provide effective challenge	HOS Safeguardin g and QA / Senior IRO	deliver a range of bespoke     development workshops for CP     Chairs and IROs to cover following     topics:     role of CP Chair     role of IRO     effective challenge     SOS     participation     LADO  develop and implement a mid way check process for CP Chairs and IROs to demonstrate oversight of cases	% of cases audited where the IRO oversight was rated at least RI	To be provided	?	Historic audit judgements are recognised as being over optimistic. New baseline based on audits completed in September onwards
		permanence planning				
<ul> <li>Monitor the progr Monitoring progress</li> </ul>	ess of children le Head of Education, Learning and Skills	Data monitored routinely by VH / teachers     Designated teacher data tracking set up	CLA Progress     measures	Data to be provided	GCSE grades A*-C,	including English and maths
	Virtual Head					
Attention to attainment	Head of Education, Learning and Skills Virtual Head	<ul> <li>Additional VH teacher recruitment</li> <li>Attendance officer (LAC) recruitment         <ul> <li>attendance is tracked</li> </ul> </li> <li>GCSE pod purchased / FC informed         of role requirement</li> <li>Develop designated teacher         handbook</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>GCSE 5 + A* to C</li> <li>Progress measures</li> <li>SATS performance</li> </ul>	Data to be provided	?	

Permanence planning at the earliest stage  Head of Specialist Services  Permanence Plans improve outcomes for CYP.  Head of Specialist Services  Permanence Plans improve outcomes for CYP.  Permanenc	What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
planning at the earliest stage  Specialist Services  In practice standards, processes and procedures  Permanence Panel TOR reviewed re: EPP  Practice Culture drafted Practice development and learning session delivered Practice culture changes from front door through the child's journey  Permanence Plans improve outcomes for CYP.  Permanency decisions monitored and driven forward  Outcome based Plan drafted and on PARIS  Outcome based plans are effective  Provided							
improve outcomes for CYP.  Specialist Services  Outcome based Plan drafted and on PARIS  Outcome based plans are effective  Increase in Foster  Head of  Number of children with foster to	planning at the	Specialist	in practice standards, processes and procedures  Permanence Panel TOR reviewed re: EPP  Practice Culture drafted  Practice development and learning session delivered  Practice culture changes from front	care where a permanency planning had occurred within 13		?	
	improve outcomes	Specialist	and driven forward     Outcome based Plan drafted and on PARIS	evidenced permancy		?	
arrangements Services exponentially.  Care leavers	to Adopt arrangements	Specialist Services	adopt arrangements are increasing	aged 5 and under with a	• 1 (Apr – Jun 2016/17)	95% of under 5's / ?	

<ul> <li>Develop ways for</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Develop ways for care leavers to receive clear and effective advice and guidance on their next steps, which include more formal communication to them of their entitlements</li> </ul>							
Provision of Care Leavers Website	Care Leavers Practice Manager	Provide website with advice and guidance for young people  Ensure regular review of website updates	% of Eligible and relevant and former relevant that said they had accessed the website	Online user survey rating?	?			
Care leavers to be able to access information via social media	Care Leavers Practice Manager  Care Leavers' Forum	Develop and review Social Media Presence     Ensure all young people are aware of Social Media for Care Leavers	% of Eligible and relevant and former relevant "following " the page on Facebook	No social media presence	50% / ?	Face book page live has gone live current number of followers- 34/160		
Creation of Introduction to Leaving Care booklet to be given to all Care Leavers	Care Leavers Practice Manager	<ul> <li>Identify best practice examples of Leaving Care information booklet</li> <li>Develop booklet with feedback from young people in the service.</li> <li>Develop process to ensure all Care</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>% of eligible, relevant who had received a guide to leaving care</li> </ul>	No booklet for all care leavers	100% / Jul 17	All young people now receive the Guide to Leaving Care		

What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
		Leavers receive booklet.				
Clear pathway for information sharing with Care Leavers	Care Leavers Practice Manager  Care Leavers	Produce Care Leavers     Communication Strategy which identifies process for giving advice and guidance.      Ensure that the communication strategy is updated regularly.	% of Eligible, relevant and former relevant that said that they were well supported in place	Data to be provided	?	Communication strategy in place. Review in 6 months in line with advice from Service Users
	Business Support					
		ys plans is consistently good and that care le		contribute to the develop	ment and content	of these plans
Pathway plans to be re-designed in consultation with young people	Care Leavers Practice Manager Social Work	Research best practice in pathway plans nationally.      Design plan in consultation with young people.	% of pathway plans were the young person's contribution was evident	Data to be provided	?	New Pathway Plan implemented Jul 2016
	Student	Gather feedback on plans and modify on 6 monthly basis.				
Quality assurance processes in the care leavers team to ensure good quality pathway plans	YOT Manager	<ul> <li>Care Leavers service to hold quality assurance reviews on a 6 monthly basis.</li> <li>Collate Quality Assurance of Pathway plans.</li> </ul>	% of pathway plans judged to be at least RI or better	Data to be provided	?	QA meeting scheduled for October 2016
		<ul> <li>Collate audit findings in relation to Pathway plans.</li> <li>Initiate practice changes to continually improve plans.</li> </ul>				
Young people's forum to review pathway plans on a yearly basis.	Care Leavers Forum	Young people to be asked at each pathway planning meeting for feedback on the forms.  Establish Care Leavers' forum  Feedback to be anonymously collated and triangulated by the Care	% of pathway plans were the young person's contribution was evident	No feedback from young people sought in relation to Pathway plans.	?	Feedback collated December 2016
Pathway plans to be improved in response to	Care Leavers Practice	Leaver's forum.      Ensure usage of MOMO app across the service, through provision of appropriate technology and training	% of CLA 15 + who have used MOMO	No feedback from young people sought in	?	

What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
feedback from MOMO app.	Manager YOT Manager	for staff.  Data from MOMO app to be used to review quality of Pathway Plans		relation to Pathway Plans.  Data to be provided		
<ul> <li>Leadership a</li> </ul>	nd Governa	nce				
The Chief Execuservices	tive should en	sure that leaderships in Torbay is strong,	consistent and sharply focuse	ed on improving and sus	staining outcomes	for children throughout children's social
Increase corporate oversight and understanding of CS performance, resource and outcomes	DCS CX	Introduce weekly keep in touch meeting/teleconference between DCS & CX			Commenced July 2016	Well informed on CS performance, budget and outcome
	DCS /CX	Implement monthly reporting from DCS to CX on CS performance			First report issued August 2016	CX has a comprehensive overview of performance using appropriate comparators
	DCS / AD corporate Services	<ul> <li>Children Services key decisions and plans incorporated within annual cycle of council decision making arrangements.</li> <li>Overview &amp; Scrutiny Working Party for Children's Services established.</li> </ul>		In place August 2016	Revised schedule agree with Gov Services September 2016 subject to major review at CS SLT	Key decisions and plans subject to review and revision by Elected Members
Corporate Parenting board	Lead Member AD / Head of Specialist Services	CPB meets regularly CP strategy, Plan, Pledge written Key Partners attend Training for PCB elected members by LGA arranged / provided	% of young people receiving support CIN, CPP and CLA confirming positive impact on their needs	Data to be provided	?	CPB dashboard CPB action plan
Improve the quaplanning	lity of performa	ance management and monitoring throug	h an improved and robust suit	e of data, effective and	challenging manag	gement oversight and rigours action
Deliver Management reporting tool platform	Principal Performance Manager	Deliver new online reporting tool for all managers and populate with live performance data	Team managers and Services Managers critique performance and address areas for development in a timely way.	No ready accessible to live performance data	April 2016  COMPLETED	Q1 Online Tool live and available to Service Managers. Begin roll out of drill down information
		Establish drill down function on key performance data to see individual	Team managers and Services Managers	No ready access to	September 2016	Q2 Online Tool available to Team Managers. Additional drill down available.

What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
		performance	critique performance and address areas for development in a timely way.	variation in performance at a team and individual level		Begin building in of benchmark information.
		Introduce benchmark information across performance data	% of practice standards where there is evidence of sustained improvement in performance	No ready access to benchmark information alongside live performance data	December 2016	Q3 Build in additional views of data including more benchmarks and outcomes of Audits
		Build further PM and service views			February 2016	Q4 Team and service views built in as standard (as opposed to self service drill downs)
Develop and implement data addressing areas for drift and delay	Principal Performance Manager	Develop data on timeliness of decision making, visiting and assessment timeliness. (Data Gaps noted by Ofsted are addressed.)  .	% of practice standards where there is evidence of sustained improvement in performance	No ready access to drift and delay data	80% by April 17	Q1 Data on first visits, MASH decision making and visits during assessments / section 47s and timeliness of assessments improved on base line Oct 15  Q4 performance on visiting and decision making sustained
Re-establish performance management routine	Principal Performance Manager and HoS	Set expectation that performance is reviewed at practice manager level and service level ahead of monthly review	Individual and team compliance on key service expectations known and addressed.	No minuted actions from monthly performance sessions	September 2016	Q1 Routine of meetings and minutes with improvement actions recorded begins

What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
		Record all actions and decisions from monthly performance meetings     Develop performance reports for key governance and decision making forums – corporate reporting, Children's Improvement Board, Corporate Parenting Board     TSCB (CS element)	Service Managers and Team managers able to provide own narrative on progress and use data to inform service plans.	SM and TM not able to confidently report on performance	December 2016	Q2 Evidence that improvement actions routinely addressed
		Develop routine reports on the quality outcomes of case audits	Overview of practice quality readily available to DCS,AD, team and service managers every month	No routine report on outcomes of Audits	December 2016	Q2 Overview of case audits begins to be reported in monthly meetings
		Develop routine reports on what children are saying (from MOMO)	% of CLA who have used MOMO	Not routine reports on voice of CLA	? February 2017	Q3 CLA overview of feedback begins to be reported in monthly meetings
Refine and update PARIS forms to reflect practice and additional information needs	Principal Performance Manager	Develop, refine PARIS forms as specified by Ofsted recommendations and remove and reduce unused and forms and fields from PARIS.	Number of forms revised (and simplified) since April 2016	1 module completely revised and automated letters rolled out     1 module completely revised and LADO forms suite updated and 3 core forms and 2 additional case notes added	June 2016 Sept 2016	Q1 – introduce event based case notes – setting up event based notes , referral return letter  Q2 – Address LADO, IRO and Single Assessment, Sos Plan, Audit tool,
				1 module completely revisited 1 module updated 6 case notes added and 1	December 2016	Q3 – Address recording of non CIN, Fostering and finalise Adoption, additional case notes for PLO and Perm planning, Case and personal supervision

What?	Who?	How?	Success measures	Baseline	Target/ date	Outcome – (report for Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)
				new forms added  1 module updated	April 2017	Q4 EH forms revised and updated
		Upgrade Paris to keep in line with latest releases	CS staff benefit from removal of known system errors	Upgrade to current version	April 2017	Q4 latest version implemented
Ensure that learning		activity and training is systematically eva	luated and contributes to a lea	rning culture with the o	organisation	
Implement a new audit tool	Lead Auditor	Research and develop new case audit tool based on best practice	New audit tool on PARIS			Quarterly audit report demonstrates compliance with new audit arrangements
		Roll out training and guidance to auditors	% of cases audited as at least RI or better	Data to be provided from PARIS	February 2016 completed	
Monitor and analyse audit findings	Lead Auditor	HOS to meet monthly to moderate audits      report findings from audits into SCMT and WFD Group	WFD Strategy and forward training programme covers areas identified in audits		quarterly	Quarterly audit reports demonstrate improvements in terms of areas of learning identified in previous quarters
		dissemination of audit findings using a range of methods: intranet, team meetings, workshops and written reports	Learning from audits accessible via the intranet and a standard agenda item at team meetings			
			Audit findings to demonstrate improvements in areas			
			% of cases audited as at least RI or better	Data to be provided from PARIS		